

## DRAFT RFP QUESTIONS

Questions 001 - 038 are from the Draft RFP

Date Received	Question Number	RFP Section	RFP Page	RFP Text Being Referenced	Question	Answer
10/18/2011	001				The RFP references an Appendix E: Mandatory Requirements. The Appendix refers to the Baseline System Requirements and Specifications Document. Is that document available to vendors?	Yes. The draft version will be placed on the procurement portal
10/21/2011	002				I am hoping to obtain some clarification on the Recipient Subsystem Modernization effort. I am confused as to whether the Eligibility Determination is just a piece of the RS Modernization, or is it considered the entire thing? Will the Eligibility Determination RFP be the only RFP issued for the RS Modernization effort?	The Agency has already completed the IV&V procurement. Therefore, at this point in time, the Eligibility Determination System RFP is the only RFP planned to be issued under the Recipient Subsystem Modernization Project.
11/2/2011	003				Would it be possible to post a Word version of the draft RFP and an Excel version of Appendix E to the procurement website?	Word and Excel versions will be posted. Please note DISCLAIMER – ALABAMA MEDICAID IS POSTING THIS WORD VERSION OF THE RFP TO FACILITATE VENDORS DEVELOPING THEIR PROPOSALS. THE AGENCY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY CHANGES

11/8/2011	004				<p>Section 1.2.5.2 (PAGE 31) mentions a Business Process Reengineering (BPR) Effort and resulting documentation. The section mentions that this document, and many others, can be found in Appendix F (Procurement Library).</p> <p>Appendix F has a list of document names but no documentation. A few of the documents mentioned have links however they do not work. The BPR document does not have a link. I attempted to find the Procurement Library on the Alabama Medicaid website but could not find it.</p>	The Agency will check on the links as to why they are not working. The Procurement Library will be available when the official RFP is published.
11/8/2011	005				Is there a way that we can have access to the Procurement Library and the documents that are mentioned?	The Procurement Library will be made available when the official RFP is published.

11/11/2011	006				I was hoping you could confirm if the RFP released yesterday for the Medicaid Eligibility Determination System (ITB #09-X-2205831) is in fact the final version, or if this is another draft version for which the final will be released November 16, 2011, as indicated on the procurement timeline?	The WORD Version is a DRAFT! The Final version has not yet been approved for posting.
11/13/2011	007				I'm a little confused...the RFP for the Medicaid Eligibility Determination System was posted on the 9 <sup>th</sup> in Word version, but it's not clear whether this is the Word version of the Draft, or the real final version. The document doesn't say Draft, but it also notes a release date of November 16th and states that only the PDF version (not posted) is the official version. Is this still a draft?	The WORD Version is a DRAFT! The Final version has not yet been approved for posting.

11/16/2011	008	Preface–Procurement Timetable	9	<p>Answers to Questions to be posted to web-site appears to be 1/5/2012 and the Proposal submission is due 1/19/2012.</p>	<p>The 1/5/12 posting of answers to vendor questions is only two weeks before the proposal submission date of 1/19/12. This schedule leaves little time for vendors to make changes to their technical and cost proposals before moving to the printing and shipping of their proposals. Would the Agency consider adjusting the procurement schedule to allow at least four weeks between the final posting of answers and the proposal submission date? Would the Agency also consider releasing answers on a staggered schedule to maximize the amount of time for vendors to evaluate answers and make the necessary changes to the technical and cost proposals?</p>	<p>The Agency will make every effort to release answers to questions on a weekly basis.</p>
------------	-----	-------------------------------	---	---	---	---

11/16/2011	009	1.2.2.1 Beneficiary Services	22	The RFP references an initiative to establish 17 regional assistance centers throughout the State to provide central, one-stop shop eligibility service capability and projects a gradual rollout to expand this model on a statewide basis because of resource	Can the final RFP provide more detail on the rollout schedule of the service centers and projections for service center self-service volumes and assisted service volumes as part of the ACA implementation?	Total number of regional customer service centers has not been finalized. Connectivity to these centers as well as the number of State workers accessing the system from these centers is not anticipated to increase demand.
11/16/2011	010	2.4 (Phases & Timelines)	55	Phase 1 High Level Functionality	To verify that bidders are capturing the correct detailed list of Phase 1 requirements, can the final RFP indicate in the detailed baseline requirements document which requirements it considers mandatory for Phase 1 and which would be for Phase 2?	Any requirement the Vendor needs to complete in order to meet compliance with ACA should be considered Phase I. This may vary from Vendor to Vendor depending on their solution.

11/16/2011	011	2.6.27 Activity 27: Operations	185	<p>The Agency expects that the system will be supported by two help desk systems – the first will be public facing, and will receive and service calls from the recipients of benefits. This call center will be an Agency responsibility. The Vendor will be required to produce a plan for this capability and deliver it to the Agency for implementation.</p>	<p>Can the final RFP provide more detail on Agency expectations of the selected vendor to provide “a plan for this capability.” What is the expected scope of the plan?</p>	<p>It is expected that the Vendor will provide a Help Desk (for both beneficiaries and workers) which will later be turned over to the State.</p>
------------	-----	-----------------------------------	-----	---	---	---

11/16/2011	012	3.2.1 System Solution	197	The Agency is partnering with the DPH in a unified online application and desires a solution that will leverage the use of this application	Is the unified online application referenced in the statement the online application available at <a href="http://www.insurealabama.org">www.insurealabama.org</a> , or is it a separate initiative? Can the final RFP provide more detail on its expectations for “leveraging the use of this application”?	Yes, this is the correct online application - <a href="http://www.insurealabama.org">www.insurealabama.org</a> . The Agency encourages but does not require the use of this application to the extent that it maximizes their solution.
11/16/2011	013	Section 3.2.1 (System Solution)	198	The Agency is also interested in the long-term benefits of outsourcing the system as opposed to maintaining the system themselves. The solutions pricing shall provide an option for the Vendor to provide all services, hardware, software and operations processing. The costs of both solutions will be evaluated.	The environments mentioned in the RFP are development, test, UAT, training, staging and production environments. Are these, including the development environment, to be hosted by the State? As part of the requested outsourcing option, is the Agency also interested in bidders providing pricing for hosting the various environments?	If the decision is made to outsource hosting the State would require all these environments. Outsourcing pricing should reflect this.

11/16/2011	014	3.2.1 System Solution	198	<p>The solution shall also address performance standards in Appendix R and provide an analysis of whether or not the Agency's current environment can support these requirements. If the current environment cannot support these performance standards, the Vendor shall estimate what the Agency could anticipate in relation to system availability, performance, response time,</p>	<p>Is the Agency referring to the performance standards in Appendix N? Appendix R is the Instructions for Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion. Please clarify. For bidders to assess whether the customer's current environment can support the bidder's solution and meet the Agency's performance standards, can the final RFP provide details on the Agency's current environments and provide additional clarity on how the current environments would be used by the bidder considering the current environment is primarily mainframe-based?</p>	<p>The Appendices have been corrected in the final RFP. Performance Standards are in Appendix N. Please request specific information required about the Agency's current system.</p>
------------	-----	-----------------------	-----	---	---	--



11/16/2011	015	3.5.2	224	Individuals in key positions may not be assigned new or additional contract assignments outside the State of Alabama contract, reassigned, replaced, or added during the project without the prior written consent of the Recipient Subsystem Project Manager	Can the final RFP provide the vendors the ability to reassign our personnel on a mutually agreed period of prior notice to the Agency?	As stated in section 3.5.2 #8. The Vendor shall notify the Recipient Subsystem Project Manager in writing of any proposed change in key personnel at least 30 calendar days prior to the change or as soon as change is known. The Vendor shall have 30 calendar days in which to fill vacancies of key staff with another employee of acceptable technical experience and skills subject to prior written approval of the Agency, such approval not to be unreasonably withheld.
------------	-----	-------	-----	---	--	---

11/16/2011	016	5.2.2.10	248	Tab 5 - Proposed Solution is a complex section requiring vendors to include a hardware/software inventory for all solution components as well as narrative discussion of the future direction of technology and functionality of their proposed products and how those products will meet the requirements of the ACA.	Given the requirement for 11 point font and the complexity of this section, would the Agency consider expanding the 15 double-sided page limit?	The Agency has increased the limit to 20 double sided pages.
------------	-----	----------	-----	--	---	--

11/16/2011	017	5.2.2.13	250	<p>Tab 8 - Project Plan is a complex section requiring a summary of the overall plan for completion of each activity and task of the project as well as plans for status reporting, Issue Resolution, Action Item Tracking, Project Controls, standards and procedures. It also requires that vendors describe how they will address objectives in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 plus accomplish all tasks in Sections 2.6.1 through 2.6.27.</p>	<p>Would the Agency consider expanding the 10 double-sided page limit or allow vendors to place draft plans for areas such as status reporting, issue resolution, risk management, configuration management, and quality management in an Addendum to the proposal?</p>	<p>The Agency has increased the limit on this section to 15 double sided pages.</p>
------------	-----	----------	-----	---	---	---

11/16/2011	018	5.2.2.14	251	<p>Tab 9 - Integration and Implementation Services requires that Vendors address their approach and methodology for completion of each of 28 separate items like Decision Management, Data Conversion, , Requirements Analysis, Architectural Design, Detail Design, Development, Organizational Change Management, Testing, Quality Assurance and Training to name a few.</p>	<p>Given the requirement for 11 point font and the importance of the execution of these activities for successful project completion, would the Agency consider expanding the 40 double-sided page limit to allow vendors space to describe how they will execute these critical activities? Another alternative would be to allow vendors to place draft plans in an Addendum to the proposal.</p>	<p>The Agency has increased the limit on this section to 50 double sided pages.</p>
------------	-----	----------	-----	--	---	---

11/16/2011	019	6.1.10	273	<p>The contract shall be deemed to include all applicable provisions of the State Plan and of all State and Federal laws and regulations applicable to the Alabama Medicaid Program, as they may be amended. In the event of any substantial change in such Plan, laws, or regulations, which materially affect the operation of the Alabama Medicaid Program, or the costs of administering such Program,</p>	<p>Given the fixed-price nature of the contract, the requirement that the Vendor potentially bear the costs associated with changes in laws and regulations seems unreasonable. Please confirm that Agency and the vendor will negotiate a reasonable amendment when future laws impact the cost or ability to meet service levels.</p>	<p>Should the Agency determine that changes in laws and regulations materially impacts the scope of this project they would be willing to negotiate an amendment to the contract.</p>
------------	-----	--------	-----	--	---	---

11/16/2011	020	6.1.11	274	If the Vendor does not agree with the decision of the Agency designee, the Vendor has 30 days to appeal the decision to the Commissioner of Medicaid.	Can the final RFP contain a provision that changes in scope be subject to negotiation in terms of price and the impact to service delivery and other performance measurements because of increase in volume?	Should the Agency determine that changes in laws and regulations materially impacts the scope of this project they would be willing to negotiate an amendment to the contract.
------------	-----	--------	-----	---	--	--

11/16/2011	021	6.1.18	275	<p>The State of Alabama shall have all rights of ownership in software, any modifications thereof, and all associated documentation designed, developed, or enhanced by the Vendor for the Eligibility Determination System in the performance of its duties under this agreement. The Vendor shall obtain for the Agency any necessary licenses for all commercial or proprietary software not owned by the Vendor that is</p>	<p>This provision appears to be misstated in that the State does not need to retain ownership to the intellectual property developed in connection with the Eligibility Determination System and also reserve a license to that same intellectual property. Please confirm that the vendor will retain the license currently designated for the State. Also confirm that pre-existing intellectual property rights that a provider brings to the Eligibility Determination System will remain the property of that vendor, including the enhancements thereto, with the State retaining only a license to use such intellectual property.</p>	<p>The Agency does not believe that the Vendor's interpretation of this statement is correct.</p>
------------	-----	--------	-----	---	---	---

11/16/2011	022	6.2	276	<p>This contract may be terminated by Medicaid for any and all of the following reasons: In the event of the insolvency of or declaration of bankruptcy by the vendor; for any default by the vendor; in the event sufficient appropriated, obligated funds from either State or Federal sources no longer exist for the payment of Medicaid's obligation herein; for the convenience of Medicaid</p>	<p>The termination provisions do not make any distinction between a material and a nonmaterial default. Can the final RFP contain a provision that Medicaid's ability to termination for default be limited to a material default or in the case of nonmaterial defaults only when the aggregate impact of this nonmaterial defaults has a material impact on deliver or receipt of services?</p>	<p>The Agency declines to modify this section at this time. This does not preclude the Vendor from asking again at a later date.</p>
------------	-----	-----	-----	---	---	--



11/16/2011	023	6.2.4	277	<p>The Agency may terminate performance of work under the Contract in whole or in part whenever, for any reason, the Agency, in its sole discretion determines that such termination is in the best interest of the State. In the event that the Agency elects to terminate the contract pursuant to this provision, it shall so notify the Vendor by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested. The termination shall be effective as of</p>	<p>Given the nature of and size of the proposed contract, it seems impractical for the Agency and the vendor to effectively shut down the contract without adequate notice. Can the Agency provide a reasonable period of prior notice? Can the final RFP contain a provision that this clause be clarified to provide for reasonable shutdown expenses?</p>	<p>The Agency declines to modify this section at this time.</p>
------------	-----	-------	-----	--	--	---

11/16/2011	024	6.5.3	282	All parties shall comply with the provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and any implementing regulations as adopted.	Please confirm that changes to HIPAA after the effective date that affects the cost or ability to meet service levels will be subject to mutual agreement.	Should the Agency determine that changes in laws and regulations impacts the scope of this project they would be willing to negotiate an amendment to the contract.
------------	-----	-------	-----	--	--	---

11/16/2011	025	6.5.8	283	In order to assure full performance of all obligations imposed on a Vendor contracting with the State of Alabama, the Vendor will be required to provide a performance guarantee in the amount of 15 percent of the total contract value. The performance guarantee must be submitted by the Vendor at least 10 calendar days prior to the contract start date. The form of security guarantee shall be one of the	Can the final RFP clarify and confirm that forfeiture of the performance bond will be subject to the notice and cure provisions contained in the termination for cause provisions.	Yes, forfeiture of the performance bond will be subject to the notice and cure provisions contained in the termination for cause provisions.
------------	-----	-------	-----	--	--	--

11/16/2011	026	6.5.9	283	<p>Indemnification:</p> <p>The Vendor agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the State, the Agency, and their officers, agents, and employees (hereinafter collectively referred to as "indemnitees"), for all claims, losses, or suits accruing or resulting from the Vendor's performance or non-performance of its duties under these contracts. The Vendor, at its own expense, shall defend any claim or suit</p>	<p>Because indemnification by its nature relates to third-party claims and the Agency will have direct claims against the vendor, will the Agency please clarify that these indemnity obligations relate to third-party claims? Can the final RFP contain a provision that requests that the indemnification obligations exclude liability for consequential, indirect, punitive, or special damages?</p>	<p>The Agency declines to modify this section at this time. This does not preclude the Vendor from asking again at a later date.</p>
------------	-----	-------	-----	--	---	--

11/16/2011	027	6.7.2	286	<p>The Vendor agrees that representatives of the Comptroller General, HHS, the General Accounting Office, the State of Alabama Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, the Agency, and their authorized representatives shall have the right during business hours to inspect and copy the Vendor's books and records pertaining to contract performance and costs thereof. The Vendor shall cooperate fully</p>	<p>Please clarify that only those facilities at which vendor is performing services under the contract are subject to inspection. Additionally, please clarify that the Agency will agree to reasonable security and confidentiality requirements of the Vendor in conducting such inspections. Finally, please confirm that access to records will be limited to those records required to verify the accuracy of the invoices submitted and verify Vendor's performance under this agreement.</p>	<p>The Agency will abide by federal requirements in these situations.</p>
------------	-----	-------	-----	--	---	---

11/16/2011	028	6.8 Method of Payment and Invoicing	287	During the life of the Contract for this RFP, payment of 90 percent of the amount proposal per task/deliverable/r equirement will be paid to the Vendor following the Agency's approval of tasks/deliverable s/requirements for services rendered with the exceptions noted below. The Agency will retain an amount equal to 10 percent of each task/deliverable/r equirement cost (withholding) which will be paid to the Vendor at the	Can the final RFP clarify "the successful completion of all tasks." How is this metric measured and is this just applicable to the DDI period or the entire five-year contract if option years are exercised?	The 10 percent withhold is payable upon Agency acceptance of the system.
------------	-----	-------------------------------------	-----	--	---	--

11/16/2011	029	6.9.1	287	<p>The purpose of liquidated damages is to ensure adherence to the performance requirements in these Contracts. No punitive intention is inherent. It is agreed by the Agency and the Vendor that, in the event of a failure to meet the contract requirements, damage shall be sustained by the Agency, and that it is and shall be impractical and extremely difficult to ascertain and determine the</p>	<p>Please clarify that if one action by the vendor results in the potential application of multiple performance standards failures, the vendor will only be responsible for a single liquidated damage assessment. Additionally, please clarify that if the vendor is assessed liquidated damages that this will be the sole monetary remedy available to the Agency because the nature of a liquidated damage assessment is for the parties to agree in advance on the damages that should be paid for a specified failure.</p>	<p>The Agency declines to modify this section at this time.</p>
------------	-----	-------	-----	---	--	---

11/16/2011	030	6.9.3	289	<p>The Agency's remedies and the Vendor's direct liability to the Agency shall be limited to one and a half times the value of the Contract. This limitation shall not apply to tangible property damage or personal injury. The limitation of liability is applicable solely to the Vendor's direct liability to the Agency. Nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting the Vendor's obligation to</p>	<p>Will the Agency please confirm that the liability of a vendor will exclude liability for consequential, indirect, punitive, or special damages? Given the size, scope, and value of this contract a limit of liability of one and a half times the amount paid across the contract becomes an unreasonable and excessive amount. Given the multiple other remedies and relief avenues available to the Agency, will the Agency limit a vendor's liability to 12 months of prior revenue?</p>	<p>The Agency declines to answer this question at this time. This does not preclude the Vendor from asking again at a later date.</p>
11/16/2011	031	Form N	313	<p>CPU Line Item in the form</p>	<p>Please explain how CPU Time relates to ongoing operations. How is this to be calculated? Is it a requirement that the vendor provide this?</p>	<p><a href="#">This reference was removed.</a></p>



11/16/2011	032	Appendix N Performance Expectations Requirement 61326	401	The system shall be capable of supporting those members of the public using the system to apply for benefits or other public user application functions (The Medicaid Agency believes the number of Alabama citizens potentially qualified for Medicaid programs is approximately 1.5 million. The number of concurrent users to be planned for shall be 20% or 300,000). Key: 61326 XRef: TRI001.3	Twenty percent concurrency seems high for the expected frequency and length of usage and might cause bidders to over-solution the infrastructure to support this high of a concurrency level. We recommend that the State reevaluate this requirement.	The number of concurrent users to be planned for has been changed to 2,000.
------------	-----	---	-----	---	--	---

11/16/2011	033	Appendix N Performance Expectations Requirement 60747	401	The system shall provide the capability for a two second transaction response time to be consistent for all workers directly interacting with the production environment, based on a common web portal access for network access point, processed and returned to the network access point. Key: 60747 XRef: TRI003	Is the two-second response time requirement an average across all transactions?	The system shall provide the capability for an average two second transaction response time with no single transaction exceeding 10 seconds.
------------	-----	---	-----	---	---	--

11/16/2011	034	Appendix N Performance Expectations Requirement 60742	401	The system shall have a mean retrieval time of less than 5 seconds for document images of 2MB or less in size over the State's ISDN 256Kb line. Key: 60742 XRef: TRI010	The retrieval requirement seems to be unachievable and does not seem physically possible using the file and network line sizes given. Can the State provide further clarification for this requirement?	The system shall have a mean retrieval time to the network access point of less than 5 seconds for document images of 2MB or less in size over the State's ISDN 256Kb line.
11/16/2011	035				As currently written, prospective Vendors are not permitted to take any exceptions to the terms and conditions of the RFP. Is your agency amendable to permitting Vendors to take exceptions in the final RFP?	No
11/16/2011	036				Is your agency amendable to permitting Vendors to propose alternative language to those terms and conditions found to be adverse to its policies and procedures?	No



11/28/2011	039				<p>The RFP refers only tangentially to a data warehouse (on pages 194, 402, &amp; 404). Further, there is no statement indicating that a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are or are not included in this project. Can you state if a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are or are not included in this project?</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>
11/28/2011	040				<p>If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project, can you tell us on which platform of your N-Tier architecture (referenced on pages 12, 24, 39, 195, 196, 255, &amp; 325) the data warehouse currently resides? If this platform has not been described in Appendix "G", would you please provide a description of it in a manner consistent with the other platform descriptions in Appendix "G"?</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>

11/28/2011	041				<p>If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project, can you specify the total data volume in terabytes or gigabytes that will be required for storage in the data warehouse at each year-end of the contract so we can see the anticipated data space growth required over the life of the project?</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>
11/28/2011	042				<p>If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project, can you specify the maximum number of concurrent users on the warehouse system during each year of the contract so we can see the anticipated growth in the maximum number of concurrent users over the life of the project?</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>

11/28/2011	043				<p>If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project, does the state also wish to acquire a Health &amp; Human Services logical data model and data modeling services to enable analysis of all of the social service programs and their eligibility facets which are the subjects of this project?</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>
11/28/2011	044				<p>On page 378 the RFP states: "A Disaster Recovery (DR) data center is being established in the Alabama State House. It will mirror the production data center." If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project, does the state require a separate data warehouse test &amp; development system for the DR center?</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>

	045				<p>If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project and the state does require a separate data warehouse test &amp; development system for the DR center, does the state require coordination between the production and test-development data warehouse systems in order to provide automatic failover between the two in the event of a disaster? In such a scenario, previously identified critical production data warehouse applications and their related production data are kept fully available to the user community at all times.</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>
--	-----	--	--	--	--	---



	046			<p>acquisition and implementation are included in this project, does the state wish to have a separate BAR subsystem (backup, archive, &amp; recovery) only for the data warehouse? Or, would the state prefer to incorporate the data warehouse BAR capability into the BAR system described on page 378: "Physical tape storage consists mainly of four (4) StorageTek tape cartridge silos housing both 200MB and 20GB tapes. The plan is to migrate to the aforementioned virtual tape system (late summer 2009) and upgrade the physical tapes to IBM 3592 Model 500GB tapes housed in an IBM 3494 Automatic Tape Library (ATL). Upon successful implementation of the virtual tape system and the ATL the four (4) StorageTek silos will be de-</p>	<p>A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.</p>
--	-----	--	--	---	---

11/28/2011	047				If a data warehouse system acquisition and implementation are included in this project, does the state wish to establish direct connectivity between their IBM z/800 mainframe and the data warehouse platform(s)? If so, can the state stipulate that such connectivity should be with either FICON or ESCON?	A data warehouse system acquisition and implementation is NOT included in the project. References to the data warehouse refer to the date warehouse which is currently provided by our Fiscal Agent.
11/28/2011	048				Will the Agency allow teleconference participation for the Mandatory Pre-Proposal Conference on December 13, 2011?	No, the Agency requires physical attendance at the pre-Proposal Conference in the Montgomery facility.

12/01/1011	049			<p>In the Mandatory Requirements matrix, the following requirement is listed:</p> <p>TRI048/Technical/Infrastructure/Database/60786/7.2.4 – The system shall support the current supported and higher version of MS SQL Server 2005 as the data repository. Within the body of the RFP, however, there are at least three references (Pages 12, 39, and 195) to the database requirement all of which state essentially the same thing (such as this excerpt from Page 39): “The N-Tier design of the system shall require and utilize relational database technology as its foundation and database tier/level.” The question is, is MS SQL Server 2005 a hard requirement for use at the database tier/level of proposed solutions, or are</p>	<p>The requirements related to MS SQL Server 2005 is in regards to legacy systems with which the new eligibility system will need to communicate. The new system may use any relational database technology as long as it meets the need of being able to communicate with the Agency's MS SQL Server 2005.</p>
------------	-----	--	--	--	---

12/1/2011	050				<p>Is “ Recipient Subsystem” just another name for “Eligibility Determination System”?</p> <p>Here’s my confusion – the Eligibility Determination RFP says “The <b>RS Project Manager for this project is</b>”, which to me implies that there will be more than one project for the RS Modernization, but the Q&amp;A set sounds like Eligibility Determination is the only one. And the way the RFP is titled (Recipient Subsystem Modernization Project Request for Proposal - Eligibility Determination System) also looks like Eligibility Determination is just a portion of a larger RS effort.</p>	The AMAES system currently has functionality beyond the eligibility and enrollment requirements that are part of this RFP. That functionality will be provided through some yet to be determined means which is outside the scope of this RFP.
051	12/01/2011				Who is the IV&V contractor?	The IV&V Vendor is SLI Global Solutions